# **Computational Studies for Enhanced Air Sanitization in Indoor Environments**

**Project Period: 06.17.2024 – 08.30.2024** 

Mezong Jamir<sup>1</sup>, Tom Dunbar<sup>3</sup>, Tong Lin<sup>12</sup> <sup>1</sup>Department of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering (MAE), Syracuse University <sup>2</sup>Center of Excellence, Syracuse University <sup>3</sup>tomPhyzx.LLC, Dundee, NY 14837, USA

## Introduction

The importance of studying aerosol transmission in hospitals cannot be overstated, as these environments are particularly vulnerable to the spread of infectious diseases. Effective air hygiene in hospitals is crucial for protecting both patients and healthcare workers from airborne pathogens. One key component is effective air movement, as donors generate infectious aerosols that enter the breathing zone. Delivering sanitized air effectively to the breathing zone and moving infectious aerosols out of it greatly assists in mitigating aerosol transmission risk. This effectiveness is especially important in hospitals, where a group of people (e.g., doctors, nurses, or patient family) gathering around a donor can reduce the local air movement driven by the hospital Heating, Ventilation, and Air Conditioning (HVAC) system alone.

This study demonstrates the efficacy of additional air-sanitizing devices used alongside the hospital HVAC system to increase air movement within the breathing zone, thereby reducing aerosol transmission risk in hospital environments. Using Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) models and field measurements, the study simulated scenarios with and without these devices, showing a significant reduction in infectious aerosol concentrations in the breathing zone, especially when a group of people is gathered around the patient.

### Method

### <u>Geometry of Study</u>

We investigated a single patient room at SUNY Upstate Medical University Simulation Center. Two scenarios were simulated: (1) only the donor patient and (2) with a "team" of five doing rounds in a semicircle around the bed. Air-sanitizer is thetaOne a commercial product from tomPhyzx.LLC.



Single Patient Room @ SUNY Upstate Medical **University Simulation Center** 



thetaOne air-sanitizer



### Results

For the case of a patient alone:

- 194% greater average velocity in the space with the air-sanitizer turned on, especially 217% higher in the breathing zone.
- 66% lower average pathogen count in the space with the air-sanitizer turned on, particularly 68% lower in the breathing zone. For the case of a patient with a team of five doctors:



### Conclusions

The simulations conducted reveal the air-sanitizer significantly enhances air movement, effectively doubling the airflow within the space. This increased air movement, coupled with the air sanitization, resulted in a substantial reduction in pathogen concentration throughout the room, particularly in the breathing zone. These findings underscore the importance of air sanitization and improved air movement in reducing airborne pathogens in healthcare environments.

# **Analysis and Design Center at Syracuse University Center of Excellence**

SyracuseCoE's Analysis & Design Center (ADC) assists companies with product design challenges by offering access to Finite Element Analysis (FEA), Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD), Computer Aided Design (CAD), and prototyping, and DOE/ORNL Heat Pump Design Model. For more information: https://centerofexcellence.syracuse.edu/what-we-offer/current-opportunities/adc/

Supported by the U.S. Department of Energy EPIC Buildings Program Energy Program for Innovation Clusters **Room Condition** •Air Change Rate: 6 air changes per hour (ACH) **Patient Condition** •Breathing Rate: 10 liters per minute **Air-Sanitizer** •Efficiency: Assumes 100% disinfection efficiency •Flow Rate: 0.0745 kg/s (130 CFM) **Calculation Setup** •State: Steady •Type: Pressure-based •Turbulence Model: SST-k@ •Buoyancy : Boussinesq Approximation Mesh Size

•Scenario (1): ~17 million elements

•Scenario (2): ~23 million elements

Air-sanitizer OFF Air-sanitizer ON Scenario (2)



Scan for pdates of this study





• 182% greater average velocity in the space with the air-sanitizer turned on, especially 198% higher in the breathing zone. • 65% lower average pathogen count in the space with the air-sanitizer turned on, especially 66% lower in the breathing zone.